## Numerical Analysis

## Chapter 3 Root Finding

An Ideal Goal: Find the roots of the equation

$$
f(x)=0 .
$$

Example 1. Solve the following equations.
a) $x^{2}-3 x+2=0$
b) $x^{3}-3 x+2=0$
c) $x^{6}-x-1=0$

Example 2. Given $P_{\text {in }}, P_{\text {out }}, N_{\text {in }}, N_{\text {out }}$, find $r$ in the equation

$$
f(r) \equiv P_{\text {in }}\left[(1+r)^{N_{\text {in }}}-1\right]-P_{\text {out }}\left[1-(1+r)^{N_{o u t}}\right]=0 .
$$

Read the text on pages 71 and 72 for the application and derivation of this equation.

Practical Goal: Approximate the roots of the equation

$$
f(x)=0
$$

### 3.1 The Bisection Method

Remark. Assume $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and

$$
f(a) f(b)<0 .
$$

Then $f$ changes sign on $[a, b]$ and $f(x)=0$ has at least a root in the interval. (This is the Intermediate Value Theorem of Calculus.)
The simplest numerical procedure for finding a root is repeatedly halving the interval for which $f(x)$ changes sign. This is called the bisection method.

Here are the steps. Fix a positive $\epsilon>0$. This is to decide the accuracy of the approximation.

B1 Define $c=\frac{a+b}{2}$
B2 If $b-c<\epsilon$, then accept $c$ as a root and stop.
B3 If $\operatorname{sign}[f(b)] \cdot \operatorname{sign}[f(c)]<0$, then set $c=a$.
Otherwise, set $b=c$ and return to B1
Example 3. Find the largest root of

$$
f(x)=x^{6}-x-1=0
$$

accurate to within $\epsilon=0.001$.

Solution: First note that $f(1)=-1$ and $f(2)=61$. Thus $a=1$ and $b=2$. The first value of $c$ will be $c=1.5$. We compute $b-c$ to find that $b-c=.5$. Next we evaluate $f(c)=f(1.5)=8.8906>0$, and hence let $b=c=1.5$ and go to B1. The new $c$ is $c=1.25$ and $b-c=.25$ and so we compute $f(c)=f(1.25)=1.5647>0$. We set $b=c=1.25$ and go to B1 again. Keep repeating this while at the same time keeping track of the difference $b-c$. When this difference becomes less than $\epsilon$ we stop. For details, see the table on page 73 of the text.

Error Bounds Given $a, b$, and $\epsilon$, how many steps do we need to approximate the roo of $f(x)=0$ ?
To answer this question, we let $a_{n}, b_{n}$, and $c_{n}$ denote the $n$th computed values of $a, b$, and $c$ respectively. Then

$$
b_{n+1}-a_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)
$$

and hence (by induction)

$$
b_{n}-a_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}(b-a) \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

Since the root $\alpha$ is in either $\left[a_{n}, c_{n}\right]$ or $\left[c_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, we know that

$$
\left|\alpha-c_{n}\right| \leq c_{n}-a_{n}=b_{n}-c_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)
$$

and so (again by induction) we have

$$
\left|\alpha-c_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{2^{n}}(b-a) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=\alpha
$$

If we require $\left|\alpha-c_{n}\right| \leq \epsilon$, then it suffices to have

$$
\frac{1}{2}(b-a) \leq \epsilon
$$

or

$$
n \geq \frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left(\frac{b-a}{\epsilon}\right)
$$

### 3.2 Newton's Method

Remark. Newton's method use tangent line approximation. More precisely, let $x_{0}$ a point near a solution $\alpha$ of $f(x)=0$. We will discussed a condition on the selection of $x_{0}$ later. For the moment assume that $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. The equation of the tangent line at $x_{0}$ is given by

$$
y-f\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)
$$

Let $x_{1}$ be the $x$-intercept of the tangent line. Then we can solve for $x_{1}$ to get

$$
x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

We will show later that for suitably chosen $x_{0}, x_{1}$ will be closer to the root $\alpha$ of $f(x)=0$. Replace $x_{0}$ by $x_{1}$ and repeat the above to get a better approximation $x_{2}$ given by

$$
x_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}
$$

Continue this process to obtain

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

This is called the iteration formula of Newton's Method. Newton's method is sometimes called Newton - Raphson Method

Example 4 Find the iteration formula for Newton's method and use it to approximate the root of $f(x)=x^{6}-x-1$.

Solution: Since $f^{\prime}(x)=6 x^{5}-1$, we have

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{x_{n}^{6}-x_{n}-1}{6 x_{n}^{5}-1}
$$

We can use $x_{0}=1.5$ and obtain $x_{1}=1.300490088$. and so on. (See Table 3.2 on page 81 )
Example 5 Do Example 3.2.2 of the text on page 81.
$\underline{\text { Error Analysis }}$ How do we choose $x_{0}$ to ensure convergence of $x_{n}$ to $\alpha$ ?
Assume $f$ has a continuous derivative, $f(\alpha)=0$, and $f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Using Taylor's Thereom, we have

$$
f(\alpha)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2}\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a number between $\alpha$ and $x_{n}$.
Since $f(\alpha)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0$ diving the above by $f^{\prime}(\alpha)$, we get

$$
0=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}+\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

From Newton's method iteration formula, we note that

$$
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=x_{n}-x_{n+1}
$$

Using this in the above equation, we get

$$
0=\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}+\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2} .\right.
$$

Solving for $\alpha-x_{n+1}$, we get

$$
\alpha-x_{n+1}+\frac{-f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

If we now assume that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\alpha,
$$

then

$$
c_{n} \approx \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n} \approx \alpha
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{-f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \approx \frac{-f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{2 f^{\prime}(\alpha)} \equiv M
$$

Thus,

$$
\alpha-x_{n+1} \approx M\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

and multiply both sides by $M$, we get

$$
M\left(\alpha-x_{n+1}\right) \approx\left(M\left(\alpha-x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

By induction, we conclude that

$$
\alpha-x_{n} \approx\left(M\left(\alpha-x_{0}\right)\right)^{2^{n}}, \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

Consequently for $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\alpha$ to hold we must have

$$
\left|\alpha-x_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{M}
$$

Remark. While the above condition ensures the convergence of the iteration formula for Newton, the difficulty is that $M$ uses the value of the unknown $\alpha$. How would you overcome this difficulty?

Error Estimation How many iterations do we need to approximate $\alpha$ by $x_{n}$ accurate to within a given $\epsilon>0$ ?

To answer this question, we use the Mean Value Theorem on the interval $\left[\alpha, x_{n}\right]$ or $\left[x_{n}, \alpha\right]$ to write

$$
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(\alpha)}{x_{n}-\alpha}=f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)
$$

for some $c_{n}$ between $x_{n}$ and $\alpha$. But $f(\alpha)=0$ and so we have

$$
f\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)
$$

or

$$
\alpha-x_{n}=\frac{-f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)} .
$$

Now assume $f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right) \approx f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and use Newton's iteration formula to get

$$
x_{n+1}-x_{n} \approx \frac{-f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\alpha-x_{n} \approx x_{n+1}-x_{n} .
$$

In other words,

$$
\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right|<\epsilon
$$

can ensure that the error $\left|\alpha-x_{n}\right|$ is less than $\epsilon$. This is the standard error estimate for Newton's Method.

### 3.3 Secant Method

Newton's Method uses tangent line. However, we can also use other straight line approximations to $y=f(x)$. One such method is called the secant method.

Assume that two initial guesses $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ to $\alpha$ are known. Find the equation of the line passing through $\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ to obtain

$$
y=P(x)=f\left(x_{1}\right)+\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\left(x-x_{1}\right) .
$$

Solve $P(x)=0$ to get the solution $x_{2}$ :

$$
x_{2}=x_{1}-f\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{x_{1}-x_{0}}{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)} .
$$

It can be shown that $x_{2}$ is a better approximation to $\alpha$ than the previous two guesses. Repeat the above arguement with $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ to get a new approximation $x_{3}$ given by

$$
x_{3}=x_{2}-f\left(x_{2}\right) \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)} .
$$

We proceed inductively to obtain the iteration formula for the secant method:

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right) \frac{x_{n}-x_{n-1}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n-1}\right)} .
$$

Example Find the iteration formula for to approximate the root of $f(x)=x^{6}-x-1$. (See table 3.3 on page 92)

## Error Analysis From

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-f\left(x_{n}\right) \frac{x_{n}-x_{n-1}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n-1}\right)}
$$

we can show that

$$
\alpha-x_{n+1}=\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)\left(\alpha-x_{n-1}\right)\left[-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(c_{d}\right)}\right]
$$

where $c_{n}$ is between $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$ and $d_{n}$ is between the smallest and largest of $\alpha, x_{n}$ and $x_{n-1}$.
It can be shown that if $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are chosen to be sufficiently close to $\alpha$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\alpha-x_{n+1}\right|}{\left|\alpha-x_{n}\right|^{r}}=\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{2 f^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right|^{r-2}=c
$$

where $r=(\sqrt{5}+1) / 2 \approx 1.62$. Thus

$$
\left|\alpha-x_{n+1}\right| \approx c\left|\alpha-x_{n}\right|^{1.62}
$$

From this we also deduce that

$$
\alpha-x_{n} \approx x_{n}-x_{n-1}
$$

which is the error estimate for the iterates of the scant method. Clearly the Newton method is faster than the secant method.

### 3.4 Fixed Point Iteration

An other method of solving $f(x)=0$ is to rewrite it as

$$
x=g(x) .
$$

We then begin with an initial guess $x_{0}$ and define the fixed point iteration formula

$$
x_{n+1}=g\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

Under what condition(s) does the statement 'if $\alpha=g(\alpha)$, then $f(\alpha)=0$ ' hold? Note that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\alpha \quad \text { implies } \quad \alpha=g(\alpha)
$$

and $x_{n}$ gives an approximation to $\alpha$. Since $\alpha=g(\alpha)$, we say that $\alpha$ is a fixed point of $g$ and hence the name of the method.

Example Consider the equation

$$
f(x)=x^{2}-5=0
$$

Each of the following iterations can be considered a fixed point iteration.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I} 1 \quad x_{n+1}=5+x_{n}-x_{n}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{I} 2 \quad x_{n+1}=5 / x_{n} \\
& \mathrm{I} 3 \quad x_{n+1}=1+x_{n}-\frac{1}{5} x^{2} \\
& \mathrm{I} 4 \quad x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+\frac{5}{x_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Construct a table to see which of the above iterations gives the desired result of approximate the positive root $\alpha=\sqrt{5}$ ?

Lemma Let $g$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and suppose $a \leq g(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. The the equation $x=g(x)$ has at least one solution $\alpha$ in $[a, b]$.

Proof: Apply IVT to $f(x)=x-g(x)$.
Theorem (Contraction Mapping Theorem) Assume that $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are continuous on $[a, b]$ and that $a \leq g(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. Suppose

$$
\lambda=\max _{a \leq x \leq b}\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|<1
$$

Then
S1 There is a unique solution of $x=g(x)$ in $[a, b]$
S2 For any initial estimate $x_{0}$ in $[a, b]$, the iterate $x_{n}$ converges to $\alpha$.
S3

$$
\left|\alpha-x_{n}\right|=\frac{\lambda^{n}}{1-\lambda}\left|x_{0}-x_{1}\right| \quad(n \geq 1
$$

S4

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow} \frac{\alpha-x_{n+1}}{\alpha-x_{n}}=g^{\prime}(\alpha)
$$

Thus

$$
\alpha-x_{n+1} \approx g^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)
$$

Corollary If $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are continuous on $[c, d]$ with a fixed point $\alpha$ and if $\left|g^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|<1$, then there exists an in interval $[a, b]$ around $\alpha$ for which the hypothesis of the theorem holds.

Example Redo I1 to I4 of the previous example.

## Aitken Error Estimation and Extrapolation

Let $\lambda=g^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and assume that

$$
\alpha-x_{n} \approx g^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(\alpha-x_{n-1}\right)
$$

as in the above theorem. Solving this equation for $\alpha$, we get

$$
\alpha \approx x_{n}+\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Since we do not yet know $\alpha$, we do not know the exact value of $\lambda$. We now make an estimate on $\lambda$
Define

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{x_{n}-x_{n-1}}{x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}}=\frac{g\left(x_{n-1}\right)-g\left(x_{n-2}\right)}{x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}}
$$

My the Mean Value Theorem, there exists $c_{n}$ between $x_{n-1}$ and $x_{n-2}$ such that $\lambda_{n}=g^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)$. Since $x_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$, we have $c_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$. By continuity of $g^{\prime}$, we conclude that $g\left(c_{n}\right) \rightarrow g^{\prime}(\alpha)=\lambda$. Thus

$$
\lambda=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}
$$

and therefore

$$
\lambda \approx x_{n}+\frac{\lambda_{n}}{1-\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) .
$$

The approximation

$$
\alpha-x_{n} \approx \frac{\lambda_{n}}{1-\lambda_{n}}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) \quad \lambda_{n}=\frac{x_{n}-x_{n-1}}{x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}}
$$

is called Aitken error estimate.
Remark If $g^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$, then we use Taylor's Theorem and write

$$
g\left(x_{n}\right)=g(\alpha)+g^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2}\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)^{2}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is between $x_{n}$ and $\alpha$. Using $x_{n+1}=g\left(x_{n}\right), \alpha=g(\alpha)$, and $g^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=\alpha+\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-\alpha\right)^{2} \\
& \alpha-x_{n+1}=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)}{2}\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

Taking limit and noting that $c_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha-x_{n+1}}{\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2}}=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{2}=N
$$

From this limit we conclude

$$
\alpha-x_{n+1} \approx N\left(\alpha-x_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

For this reason the iterate $x_{n+1}=g\left(x_{n}\right)$ is said be of order 2 or quadratically convergent.

