# ON GENERALIZATIONS OF LAMBERTS SERIES

TOM OSLER AND ABDUL HASSEN

ABSTRACT. The classical Lamberts series makes it possible to generate many remarkable transformations of series. These Lamberts series are all constructed from the function z/(1-z). In this paper we show how to generalize these series by using an arbitrary function in place of z/(1-z). Series transformations exhibiting beautiful symmetry are obtained. In addition, a double contour integral is found which represents these series. Our method is compared to a general procedure introduced by MacMahon. Keywords.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let

then the series

 $B(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$ 

$$L(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{1 - z^n}$$
(1.1)

is called a Lamberts series. These series are mentioned briefly in the classical texts by Abramowitz and Stegun [1], Bromwich [13], Crystal [16], Hardy and Wright [28], Knopp [36], MacMahon [39], Polya and Szego [42], and Titchmarsch [48]. With all the  $b_n = 1$ , the series is an example of an analytic function defined inside the unit circle, which cannot be continued to a larger domain (see Titchmarsch [48]).

Starting with the classical paper by Knopp [35] in 1913 and continuing to the present day, these series have entered into the theory of numbers, the theory of Weierstrasss elliptic functions, and the theory of basic hypergeometric series. Much of this research was motivated by the ideas of Ramanujan. Lambert Series also occur in the expansion of Eisenstein series, a particular kind of modular form. Agarwal [2] gives an excellent survey of these results. The series (1.1) can be transformed into another series

$$L(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{1 - z^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B(z^n)$$
(1.2)

and can be expanded in a Taylors series

$$L(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} b_d \right) z^n \tag{1.3}$$

where the inner sum is over all divisors d of n. The series transformation (1.2)) and the Taylors series (1.3) are discussed by Knopp in [35] and [36].

Date: 1/11/08.

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 30B10,\ 30B50,\ 30E20,\ 40A05,\ 40A30\ .$ 

Key words and phrases. Lamberts series, series transformations, contour integrals, numerical functions.

In [36], Knopp lists several examples of (1.2) which he calls "remarkable". Two of these identities are

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{1-z^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{1+z^n}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{z^n}{1-z^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log\left(\frac{z^n}{1+z^n}\right).$$

In this paper we will discuss several generalizations of the Lamberts series. One generalization is the following: Suppose

$$A(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

Then the series transformation (1.2) generalizes to

$$L(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n A(z^n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n B(z^n)$$
(1.4)

and the Taylors series (1.3) now becomes

$$L(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} a_d b_{n/d} \right) z^n.$$
(1.5)

The series (1.4) and (1.5) first appeared in a paper by I. I. Zogin [52] in 1958. In 1981, Audinarayana Moorthy [11] studied the same series, and may not have been aware of Zogins earlier paper. The paper by Spira [47] also contains related information. We see that these generalizations involve replacing the specific function z/(1-z) in (1.2) and (1.3) by the arbitrary function A(z) in (1.4) and (1.5). We should also note that Julia [31], in 1913, studied the possibility of expanding a general function in a series of the form shown in (1.4).

In this paper we give a double contour integral representation of all these series

$$L(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C G(s,t;z) A(s) B(t) dt ds,$$
(1.6)

where the kernel is given by

$$G(s,t;z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{mn}}{s^{m+1}t^{n+1}}.$$
(1.7)

The contour integral (1.6) and the importance of the function G(s,t;z) in (1.7) may be presented here for the first time. All the technical details concerning the convergence of the above series, the nature of the contours of integration and the analyticity of the functions is discussed in detail in section 3.

Two examples of our series transformations include

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \exp(bz^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} \exp(az^n)$$
(1.8)

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n \left(cz^n + d\right)^n}{n!} \exp\left(bcz^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n \left(az^n + b\right)^n}{n!} \exp\left(adz^n\right).$$
(1.9)

The symmetry of these examples is surprising. More examples along with the necessary conditions for convergence are discussed in section 4.

Finally, a more general series transformation and associated Taylors series is presented. Let F(s,t) be a given function, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_t^n F(z^n, 0)}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!} = F(1, 0) + F(0, 1) - F(0, 0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n,$$
(1.10)

where

$$c_n = \sum_{d|n} \frac{D_t^d D_s^{n/d} F(0,0)}{d! (n/d)!}.$$

The previous transformation (1.4) is the special case of (1.10) in which F(s,t) = A(s)B(t). To the best of our knowledge, (1.10) is new.

The final two sections compare our generalizations with the work of MacMahon.

## 2. Intuitive insight

Before giving rigorous proofs of our results in the next section, we present a simple formal argument using power series which will give insight into why our series transformations are valid. Let F(s,t) have a power series expansion without the constant term (F(0,0) = 0). Then

$$F(s,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{j,k} s^{j} t^{k}$$

$$= f_{1,1}s^{1}t + f_{1,2}s^{1}t^{2} + f_{1,3}s^{1}t^{3} + \cdots$$

$$+ f_{2,1}s^{2}t + f_{2,2}s^{2}t^{2} + f_{2,3}s^{2}t^{3} + \cdots$$

$$+ f_{3,1}s^{3}t + f_{3,2}s^{3}t^{2} + f_{3,3}s^{3}t^{3} + \cdots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$+ f_{n,1}s^{n}t + f_{n,2}s^{n}t^{2} + f_{n,3}s^{n}t^{3} + \cdots$$

$$\cdot$$

$$(2.1)$$

Next we differentiate n times partially with respect to s, and see that the first n-1 rows drop out to obtain

:

$$D_s^n F(s,t) = n! f_{n,1}t + n! f_{n,2}t^2 + n! f_{n,3}t^3 + \cdots + (n+1)! f_{n+1,1}s^1t + (n+1)! f_{n+1,2}s^1t^2 + (n+1)! f_{n+1,3}s^1t^3 + \cdots + (n+2)! f_{n+2,1}s^2t + (n+2)! f_{n+2,2}s^2t^2 + (n+2)! f_{n+2,3}s^2t^3 + \cdots$$

If we set s = 0 all but the first row in the above expression drops out and we get

$$D_s^n F(0,t) = n! f_{n,1}t + n! f_{n,2}t^2 + n! f_{n,3}t^3 + \cdots$$

Dividing by n! and letting  $t = z^n$  we get

$$\frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!} = f_{n,1} z^n + f_{n,2} z^{2n} + f_{n,3} z^{3n} + \cdots$$
(2.2)

Summing this last expression on n we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z^{kn}.$$
(2.3)

**Definition 2.1.** We will call the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z^{kn}$  the Lambert power series derived from the function F(s,t).

Notice that (2.2) is the *n*th row of the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z^{kn}$ .

### **Definition 2.2.** We call (2.3) the sum by rows, or the R sum of the Lambert power series.

The power series on the right side of (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} f_{d,n/d} \right) z^n.$$

It is not difficult to see that interchanging the roles of s and t in the above argument would have given us

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_t^n F(z^n, 0)}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} f_{d,n/d} \right) z^n.$$
(2.4)

Since the right hand sides of the last two expressions are identical, we have finally our transformation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{D_t^n F(z^n, 0)}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} f_{d,n/d} \right) z^n.$$

Notice that  $\frac{D_s^n F(0,z^n)}{n!}$  is the *n* th column of the Lambert power series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z^{kn}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We call (2.4) the column sum or the C sum of the Lambert power series.

Notice also that

$$f_{d,n/d} = \frac{D_s^d D_t^{n/d} F(0,0)}{d! (n/d)!}$$

which is the familiar Taylors series coefficient in two variables.

This completes our intuitive analysis of our main new result. We left out the minor modification needed to remove the restriction that F(0,0) = 0 to keep the analysis simple and clear. The above argument could be made rigorous, but we choose a contour integral approach for that purpose in the next section.

## 3. Proofs of the main results

Having given a quick intuitive look at why our transformation of series should be valid, we now present rigorous statements and proofs. We could begin by expanding all functions in Taylors series, but we choose to go a different route. We will define a function of three complex variables by a geometric like series

$$G(s,t;z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{mn}}{s^{m+1}t^{n+1}}.$$

This function will then serve as the Kernel in an integral transform

$$L(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C G(s,t;z)F(s,t)dtds$$

of the function F(s,t). By expanding the kernel G(s,t;z) in various ways, we will obtain our desired results. This method resembles the way in which the Taylors series is derived from the geometric series using Cauchys integral formula.

We begin by defining an important region.

Definition of the region R: The region R is the space of the three complex variables s, t and z restricted to

$$R = \{ |z| \le 1, \ |s| \ge \rho > 1, \ |t| \ge \rho > 1 \}.$$

Here  $\rho$  can be as close to 1 as we please.

Three lemmas regarding the kernel G(s, t; z) will be needed before we can prove our main result.

**Lemma 3.1.** The series  $G(s,t;z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{mn}}{s^{m+1}t^{n+1}}$  is absolutely and uniformly convergent in the three complex variables s, t and z when confined to any compact subset of the region R. Thus G(s,t;z) is analytic in s, t and z on R.

*Proof.* For s, t and z on R, we have

Since

$$\left|\frac{z^{mn}}{s^m t^n}\right| \le \frac{1}{\rho^{m+n}}.$$
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho^{m+n}} = \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho-1)^2},$$

the Weierstrass M test tells us that the series for G(s,t;z) converges absolutely and uniformly in every compact subset of the region R. Thus G(s,t;z) is an analytic function of the three variables on the region R.

**Lemma 3.2.** For s, t and z on R, we have

$$G(s,t;z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{m+1}(s-z^m)}$$
(3.1)

and

$$G(s,t;z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^{m+1}(t-z^m)}.$$
(3.2)

Both series converge absolutely and uniformly on the region R.

*Proof.* Since the series defining G is absolutely convergent, we can evaluate the sum using any arrangement of the terms. Using only the sum of a geometric series we have

$$G(s,t;z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{mn}}{s^{m+1}t^{n+1}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ts^{m+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^m}{t}\right)^n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^{m+1}(t-z^m)}.$$

This proves (3.2). Since G(s, t; z) = G(t, s; z) we have (3.1) also. Since

$$\left|\frac{1}{t^{m+1}(s-z^m)}\right| < \frac{1}{\rho^{m+1}(\rho-1)},$$

we see by the Weierstrass M test that the series (3.1) is absolutely and uniformly convergent. The same is true for (3.2).

**Lemma 3.3.** For s, t and z on R, we have

$$G(s,t;z) = \frac{1}{st} + \frac{1}{st(s-1)} + \frac{1}{st(t-1)} + \frac{1}{st} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(s,t) z^k,$$
(3.3)

where

$$c_k(s,t) = \sum_{d|k} \frac{1}{s^d t^{k/d}}.$$
(3.4)

(Here the index of summation d is over all divisors of k).

*Proof.* We will partition this double sum into four parts:

Part 1; m = n = 0Part 2:  $n = 0; m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ Part 3:  $m = 0; n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ Part 4:  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots; n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ 

The right hand side of (3.3) consists of four terms, and each term is the result of summing over one of the respective four parts just listed. The first term is obvious. The second and third terms use only the sum of a geometric series. The fourth sum is a double sum in which we collect terms in which the product mn = k.

With the above three lemmas available, we now derive our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let F(s,t) be analytic in the two complex variables s and t for |s| < r and |t| < r, where  $r > \rho$  ( $\rho$  is defined in the definition of the region R). Then for  $|z| \le 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_t^n F(z^n, 0)}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!}$$
(3.5)

$$= F(1,0) + F(0,1) - F(0,0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \qquad (3.6)$$

where

$$c_n = \sum_{d|n} \frac{D_t^d D_s^{n/d} F(0,0)}{d! (n/d)!}$$

and the symbol  $D_s^n F(a, b)$  means the nth partial derivative of F(s, t) with respect to s evaluated at s = a and t = b.

*Proof.* Consider the double contour integral

$$L(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C G(s,t;z) F(s,t) dt ds$$
(3.7)

where the contour C is a circle  $|s| = r_0$  in the region of analyticity with  $1 < \rho < r_0 < r$  in the complex s-plane, and the same circle in the complex t-plane. From Lemma 3.1 we know that the integrand F(s,t)G(s,t;z) is analytic in this double-annulus. Expanding G with (3.1) we get

$$L(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C \frac{F(s,t)}{t^{m+1}(s-z^m)} dt ds.$$
(3.8)

Here the interchange of summation and integration is valid because the series converges uniformly in s and t over C. Using Cauchys integral formula we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{F(s,t)}{t^{m+1}} dt = \frac{D_t^m F(s,0)}{m!}$$

so our double integral in (3.8) reduces to

$$L(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{m!} \int_{C} \frac{D_{t}^{m} F(s,0)}{s - z^{m}} ds.$$

Again using Cauchys integral formula we have

$$L(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_t^m F(z^m, 0)}{m!}.$$

If we evaluate the original double integral using (3.2) in the same way we get our first result (3.5).

To get (3.6) we start again with the double integral (3.7) for L(z) and now expand G(s, t; z) using (3.3). We get

$$\begin{split} L(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C G(s,t;z)F(s,t)dtds \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C \frac{F(s,t)}{st}dtds + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C \frac{F(s,t)}{st(t-1)}dtds + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C \frac{F(s,t)}{st(s-1)}dtds + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \end{split}$$

where

$$c_n = \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_C \frac{F(s,t)}{s^{d+1} t^{n/d+1}} dt ds.$$

The first term is F(0,0) using Cauchys integral formula. The second term is -F(0,0) + F(0,1). The third term is -F(0,0) + F(1,0). These three terms sum to F(1,0) + F(0,1) - F(0,0) and thus the first three terms of (3.6) are verified. Using Cauchys integral formula, the fourth term above is easily seen to be the fourth term in (3.6). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Having proved our main result, we next give two corollaries which describe the result for special forms of F(s,t). These two forms are a product F(s,t) = A(s)B(t) and a composite function F(s,t) = f(as+bt+c).

Corollary 3.1. Let

$$A(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad and \quad B(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

where both series converge inside the circle |z| < r, (1 < r). Then for  $|z| \le 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n A(z^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n B(z^n)$$
(3.9)

$$= a_0 B(1) + b_0 A(1) - a_0 b_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} a_d b_{n/d} \right) z^n.$$
(3.10)

*Proof.* Let F(s,t) = A(s)B(t) in Theorem 3.1. This corollary follows immediately from the three relations:

$$\frac{D_t^n F(z^n, 0)}{n!} = A(z^n) \frac{D_t^n B(0)}{n!} = A(z^n) b_n,$$

$$\frac{D_s^n F(0, z^n)}{n!} = B(z^n) \frac{D_s^n A(0)}{n!} = B(z^n) a_n,$$

$$\frac{D_s^m D_t^n F(0, 0)}{n!} = \frac{D_s^m A(0) D_t^n B(0)}{n!} = a_m b_n.$$

and

$$\frac{D_s^m D_t^n F(0,0)}{m!n!} = \frac{D_s^m A(0) D_t^n B(0)}{m!n!} = a_m b_n.$$

**Corollary 3.2.** Let a, b and c be fixed complex numbers and let the real number r > 1. Let the function f(z) be analytic inside the circle |z - c| < (|a| + |b|)r. Then for  $|z| \le 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n f^{(n)} \left(bz^n + c\right)}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n f^{(n)} \left(az^n + c\right)}{n!}$$
(3.11)

$$= f(a+c) + f(b+c) - f(c) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} \frac{a^d b^{n/d} f^{(d+n/d)}(c)}{d!(n/d)!} \right) z^n.$$
(3.12)

*Proof.* Let F(s,t) = f(as + bt + c). The circle in which the function f(z) is analytic is large enough for F(s,t) to be analytic inside the required region R of Theorem 3.1. Since

$$D_s^n F(s,t) = a^n f^{(n)}(as + bt + c)$$
 and  $D_t^n F(s,t) = b^n f^{(n)}(as + bt + c)$ ,  
r follows from the result of Theorem 1.

the corollary follows from the result of Theorem 1.

## 4. Examples

The following examples of series transformations illustrate specific cases of relation (3.5) in Theorem 3.1 of the previous section. All of the examples were checked on a computer for various numerical values of the parameters using the software program Math Cad.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $F(s,t) = e^{as+bt}$ . Then for all a and b and  $|z| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \exp(bz^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} \exp(az^n).$$
(4.1)

**Example 4.2.** Let  $F(s,t) = e^{(as+b)(ct+d)}$ . Then for all a, b, c, and d and  $|z| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n \left(cz^n + d\right)^n}{n!} \exp\left(bcz^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n \left(az^n + b\right)^n}{n!} \exp\left(adz^n\right).$$
(4.2)

**Example 4.3.** Let  $F(s,t) = (1-as)^{-1}(1-bt)^{-1}$ . Then for all |a| < 1 and |b| < 1 and  $|z| \le 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{1-az^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{1-bz^n}.$$
(4.3)

**Example 4.4.** Let  $F(s,t) = (as+bt+c)^p$ . Then for all |a+b| < |c| and  $|z| \le 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {p \choose n} a^n \left( bz^n + c \right)^{p-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {p \choose n} b^n \left( az^n + c \right)^{p-n}.$$
(4.4)

**Example 4.5.** Let  $F(s,t) = (1 + as)^p (1 + bt)^q$ . Then for all |a| < 1 and |b| < 1 and  $|z| \le 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {\binom{q}{n}} b^n \left(1 + az^n\right)^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {\binom{p}{n}} a^n \left(1 + bz^n\right)^q.$$
(4.5)

**Example 4.6.** Let  $F(s,t) = (1+as)^p e^{bs}$ . Then for all b and p, and for |a| < 1 and  $|z| \le 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} (1+az^n)^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {p \choose n} a^n \exp(bz^n).$$
(4.6)

# 5. The R, C, RC and CR sums of MacMahon.

In [39], MacMahon gave an interesting and very general way of deriving Lambert series. MacMahon starts with the Lambert power series,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}f_{m,n}z^{mn}.$$

He does not consider the associated function F(s, t) which we use as a starting point from which to derive the Lambert power series. He introduces four different ways to sum the series called the R, C, RC and CR sums. He can always sum by the R and C methods, but the RC and CR sums can only be evaluated conveniently in a few special cases. In this section we will explain these four sums, and relate our method to MacMahons. Consider once again the power series expansion

$$F(s,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{m,n} s^m t^n.$$

We now define the series of partial rows

$$R_n(s,t) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{m,k} s^m t^k.$$
 (5.1)

Notice that  $R_n(s,t)$  is the same as the sum for F(s,t) with columns  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  removed. In the same way we define the series of partial columns

$$C_m(s,t) = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{k,n} s^k t^n,$$
(5.2)

where  $C_m(s,t)$  is the same as the sum for F(s,t) with rows  $0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  removed. We observe that

$$D_s^m R_n(s,t) = m! \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} f_{m+h,k} s^h t^k$$

and hence

$$\frac{D_s^m R_n(0, z^m)}{m!} = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f_{m,k} z^{km}$$
(5.3)

is the partial sum of the Lambert power series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}f_{m,n}z^{mn}$$

consisting of the mth row starting at the nth column.



FIGURE 1. The Four Methods of Sums

In a similar way

$$\frac{D_t^n C_m(z^n, 0)}{n!} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} f_{k,n} z^{kn}$$
(5.4)

is the partial sum of the Lambert power series consisting of the nth column starting at the mth row.

In section 2 we showed why  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^m R_n(0, z^m)}{m!}$  is the sum of the Lambert power series  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{m,n} z^{mn}$  by rows (*R* sum), and why  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^n R_m(z^n, 0)}{n!}$  is the sum of the Lambert power series by columns (*C* sum). With the definitions (5.1) and (5.2) and the series (5.3) and (5.4) we can now sum the Lambert power series in many other ways besides simply rows, or simply columns. In particular, following MacMahon, we can define the *RC* and *CR* sums explained by the diagram in Figure 1. The diagram shows how the sums are taken over the matrix of indices of the Lambert power series.

Using (5.3) and (5.4) we can write the RC sum as

$$\frac{D_s^0 R_0(0,z^0)}{0!} + \frac{D_t^0 C_1(z^0,0)}{0!} + \frac{D_s^1 R_1(0,z^1)}{1!} + \frac{D_t^1 C_2(z^1,0)}{1!} + \cdots,$$

which is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{D_s^n R_n(0, z^n)}{n!} + \frac{D_t^n C_{n+1}(z^n, 0)}{n!} \right)$$
(5.5)

The CR sum is , which is

$$\frac{D_t^0 C_1\left(z^0,0\right)}{0!} + \frac{D_s^0 R_1\left(0,z^0\right)}{0!} + \frac{D_t^1 C_1\left(z^1,0\right)}{0!} + \frac{D_s^1 R_2\left(0,z^1\right)}{1!} \cdots$$

which is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{D_t^n C_n \left( z^n, 0 \right)}{n!} + \frac{D_s^n R_{n+1} \left( 0, z^n \right)}{n!} \right)$$
(5.6)

Thus (5.5) and (5.6) give us two additional ways to write the Lambert power series, and obtain new generalizations of Lambert series. Unfortunately, there are very few functions for which the partial rows and partial columns, (5.1) and (5.2), can be conveniently evaluated. This makes the *RC* and *CR* sums impossible to calculate in most examples. However, MacMahon has shown in [39] that in the examples in which these sums are possible, some very interesting results are obtained. We explore some of these in the next section.

# 6. Examples using RC, CR, RRC and CCR sums

Consider the function

$$F(s,t) = \frac{s^{a}t^{d}}{(1 - \alpha s^{a})(1 - \beta t^{c})},$$
(6.1)

where a, b, c, and d are positive integers and  $|\alpha| \leq 1$  and  $|\beta| \leq 1$ . It is clear that the series expansion

$$F(s,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^m \beta^n s^{am+b} t^{cn+d}$$
(6.2)

is absolutely convergent for |s| < 1 and |t| < 1. In this case we can easily calculate the partial rows (5.1) and partial columns (5.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{cn+d}(s,t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \alpha^m \beta^k s^{am+b} t^{ck+d} \\ &= \frac{\beta^n s^b t^{cn+d}}{1-\alpha s^a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k t^{ck} \\ &= \frac{\beta^n s^b t^{cn+d}}{(1-\alpha s^a) (1-\beta t^c)}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we have

$$C_{am+b}(s,t) = \frac{\alpha^m s^{am+b} t^d}{(1-\alpha s^a) (1-\beta t^c)}$$

From (5.3) and (5.4) we can calculate the partial row and column sums

$$\frac{D_s^{am+b}R_{cn+d}\left(0,z^{am+b}\right)}{(am+b)!} = \frac{\alpha^m \beta^n z^{(am+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(am+b)}}$$
(6.3)

and

$$\frac{D_t^{cn+d}C_{am+b}\left(z^{am+b},0\right)}{(cn+d)!} = \frac{\alpha^m \beta^n z^{(am+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(cn+d)}}.$$
(6.4)

The R, C, RC, and CR sums of the Lambert power series derived from the function F(s,t) are given respectively by the four series

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_s^{an+b} F\left(0, z^{an+b}\right)}{(an+b)!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_t^{cn+d} F\left(z^{cn+d}, 0\right)}{(cn+d)!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{D_s^{an+b} R_{cn+d}\left(0, z^{an+b}\right)}{(an+b)!} + \frac{D_t^{a(n+1)+b} C_{a(n+1)+b}\left(z^{cn+d}, 0\right)}{(cn+d)!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{D_s^{an+b} R_{cn+d}\left(0, z^{an+b}\right)}{(an+b)!} + \frac{D_t^{a(n+1)+b} C_{a(n+1)+b}\left(z^{cn+d}, 0\right)}{(cn+d)!} \right) \end{split}$$

Using (6.3) and (6.4) these simplify to the following generalized Lambert series respectively:

 $\sim$ 

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n z^{d(an+b)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(an+b)}} \tag{6.5}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^n z^{b(cn+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(cn+d)}}$$
(6.6)

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha^n \beta^n z^{(an+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(an+b)}} + \frac{\alpha^{n+1} \beta^n z^{(a(n+1)+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(cn+d)}} \right)$$
(6.7)

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha^n \beta^{n+1} z^{(an+b)(c(n+1)+d)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(an+b)}} + \frac{\alpha^n \beta^n z^{(an+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(cn+d)}} \right).$$
(6.8)

In a similar way we find the RRC and CRR sums associated with the function (6.1) and get respectively

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha^{2n} \beta^n z^{(2an+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(2an+b)}} + \frac{\alpha^{2n+1} \beta^n z^{(a(2n+1)+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(a(2n+1)+b)}} + \frac{\alpha^{2n+2} \beta^n z^{(a(2n+2)+b)(cn+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(cn+d)}} \right)$$
(6.9)

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha^n \beta^{2n} z^{(an+b)(2cn+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(2cn+d)}} + \frac{\alpha^n \beta^{2n+1} z^{(an+b)(c(2n+1)+d)}}{1 - \alpha z^{a(c(2n+1)+d)}} + \frac{\alpha^n \beta^{2n+2} z^{(an+b)(c(2n+2)+d)}}{1 - \beta z^{c(an+b)}} \right).$$
(6.10)

Of course, the six series (6.5) to (6.10) are all equal. MacMahon in [39] finds (6.5) to (6.8) for the two special cases  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $\alpha = \beta = -1$ .

We checked these series ((6.5) to (6.10)) on a computer for various values of the parameters. We found that our restriction of a, b, c and d to positive integers seems to be unnecessary. The series appear to be valid when these parameters are any positive numbers. This suggests using appropriate fractional derivatives to prove our theorems.

If we let  $\alpha = \beta = -1$ , a = 2, and b = c = d = 1, the above six series become respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{1+z} - \frac{z^3}{1+z^3} + \frac{z^5}{1+z^5} - \frac{z^7}{1+z^7} + \frac{z^9}{1+z^9} - \frac{z^{11}}{1+z^{11}} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{z}{1+z} - \frac{z^2}{1+z^4} + \frac{z^3}{1+z^6} - \frac{z^4}{1+z^8} + \frac{z^5}{1+z^{10}} - \frac{z^6}{1+z^{12}} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{z}{1+z^2} - \frac{z^3}{1+z^2} + \frac{z^6}{1+z^3} - \frac{z^{10}}{1+z^4} + \frac{z^{15}}{1+z^5} - \frac{z^{21}}{1+z^6} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{z}{1+z^2} - \frac{z^2}{1+z} + \frac{z^6}{1+z^4} - \frac{z^9}{1+z^3} + \frac{z^{15}}{1+z^6} - \frac{z^{20}}{1+z^5} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{z}{1+z} - \frac{z^3}{1+z^3} + \frac{z^5}{1+z^2} - \frac{z^{10}}{1+z^5} + \frac{z^{14}}{1+z^7} - \frac{z^{18}}{1+z^4} + \cdots \\ &= \frac{z}{1+z^2} - \frac{z^2}{1+z^4} + \frac{z^3}{1+z} - \frac{z^9}{1+z^6} + \frac{z^{12}}{1+z^8} - \frac{z^{15}}{1+z^8} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

MacMahon points out that the identity between the first and third of the above series is a celebrated relation of Jacobi. We could continue and find RCR, CRC, RCC and CRR sums and more, but we choose to end the paper here.

Acknowledgment: The author wishes to thank the referee for introducing him to the work of MacMahon.

#### References

- M. Abramowitz, C. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Dover, New York, 1972, pp. 826-827.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, Lambert series and Ramanujan, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 103 (1993), no. 3, pp.
- [3] R. Ananda Rau, On Lambert series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2 nd. ser.), 19 (1921), pp. 1-20.
- [4] R. Andre-Jeannin, Lambert series and the summation of reciprocals in certain Fibonacci-Lucas-type sequences, Fibonacci Quart., 28 (1990), no. 3, pp. 223-226.
- [5] G. E. Andrews, Lambert series, false theta functions, and partitions, 1970 Proc. Second Chapel Hill Conf. on Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications (Univ. North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C., 1970), pp. 1-11.
- [6] G. E. Andrews, Lambert series, false theta functions, and partitions, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 2 (1972), pp. 49-56.
- [7] G. E. Andrews, Bailey chains and generalized Lambert series. I. Four identities of Ramanujan, Illinois J. Math., 36 (1992), no. 2, pp. 251-274.
- [8] G. E. Andrews, Richard Lewis, Zhi Guo Liu, An identity relating a theta function to a sum of Lambert series, Bull. London Math. Soc, 33 (2001), no. 1, pp. 5-31.
- T. M. Apostal, Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series, Duke Math. J., 17 (1950), pp. 147-157.
- [10] T. M. Apostal, Elementary proof of the transformation formula for Lambert series involving generalized Dedekind sums, J. Number Theory, 15 (1982), pp. 14-24.
- [11] S. Audinarayana Moorthy, Lambert series and the Dirichlet product, Pure Appl. Math. Sci., 13(1981), pp. 1-3.
- [12] B. C. Berndt, Fragments by Ramanujan on Lambert series, Number theory and its applications (Kyoto, 1997), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 35-49.
- [13] T. J. Bromwich, An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series, 2 ed., Macmillan, New York, 1926, pp.102-103.
- [14] P. Cassens, F. Regan and D. J. Troy, On Lambert series, J. Analyse Math., 21 (1968), pp. 423-431.
- [15] L. Carlitz, Dedekind sums and Lambert series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), pp. 580-584.
- [16] G. Chrystal, Algebra, vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, (1952), pp. 345-346.
- [17] J. G. van der Corput, On a generalization of the Lambert series, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 58 (1962), pp. 125-141.
- [18] J. M. Dobbie, A generalized Lambert series, Duke Math. J., 5 (1939), pp. 325-332.
- [19] W. C. Doyle, A generalized Lambert series and its Mobius function, Annals Math., 40 (1939), pp. 353-359.
- [20] P. Erdos, On arithmetical properties of Lambert series, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N. S.), 12 (1948), pp. 63-66.
- [21] R. Evans, Generalized Lambert series. Analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Allerton Park, IL, 1995), pp. 357-370, Progr. Math., 138, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- [22] J. M. Feld, The expansion of analytic functions in generalized Lambert series, Annals Math., (2 nd. ser.), 33 (1932), pp. 139-142.
- [23] T. Fort, Borel summability and Lambert series, Amer. J. Math., 61 (1939), pp. 397-402.
- [24] J. Franel, Sur la theorie des series, Mathematische Annalen, 52 (1899), pp. 529-549.
- [25] M. C. Garvin, A generalized Lambert series, Iamer. J. Math., 58(1936), pp. 507-513.
- [26] E. R. Hansen, A Table of Series and Products, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., (1975), p. 211
- [27] G. H. Hardy, Note on Lamberts series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 13 (1914-1915), pp. 192-198.
- [28] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 1979, Clarendon Press, Oxford, England, pp. 257-258.
- [29] E. Hlawka, On a class of multiple Lambert series, J. Math. Phys. Sci. 22(1988), pp. 45-66.
- [30] A. F. Horadam, Elliptic functions and Lambert series in the summation of reciprocals in certain recurrencegenerated sequences, Fibonacci Quart., 26(1988), pp. 98-114.
- [31] G. Julia, Sur un developpement des functions holomorphes, Acta Math., 54(1930), pp. 263-295.
- [32] K. Katayama, Lambert series and arithmetic functions analogous to Ramanujans tau function II, J. Reine Angew. Math., 282 (1976), pp. 11-34.
- [33] E. S. Kennedy, Exponential analogues of the Lambert series, Amer. J. Math., 63 (1941), pp. 443-460.
- [34] G. Kertz, J. Meyer, and F. J. Regan, Exponential analogues of a generalized Lambert series, Comment. Math. Prace Mat., 15(1971), pp. 103-113.
- [35] K. Knopp, Uber Lambertsche Reihen, Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 142 (1913), pp. 283-315.
- [36] K. Knopp, Theory and application of infinite series, Dover, New York, 1990, pp. 448-452.
- [37] J. H. Lambert, Anlage zur Architektonik oder Theorie des Einfachen und Ersten in der philosophischen und mathematischen Erkenntnis, vol, 2, (1771) p. 507.

#### TOM OSLER AND ABDUL HASSEN

- [38] D. R. Lick, On generalized Lambert series, Math. Japon, 13 (1968), pp. 37-41.
- [39] P.A.MacMahon, Combinatory Analysis, Chelsea Pub. Co., New York, 1960, pp. 26-32.
- [40] R. S. Melham, Lambert series and elliptic functions and certain reciprocal sums, Fibonacci Quart, 37 (1999), no. 3, pp. 208-212.
- [41] A. I. Parson, K. H. Rosen, Hecke operators and Lambert series, Math. Scand., 49 (1981), PP. 5-14.
- [42] G. Polya, G. Szego, Problems and Theorems in Analysis II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1976, pp. 125-129.
- [43] F. Regan and C. Rust, On natural boundaries of a generalized Lambert series, Math. Mag., 31 (1957/1958), pp. 45-50.
- [44] C. H. W. Sedgewick Generalized Lambert series, Tohoku Math. J., 43 (1937), pp. 314-325.
- [45] L.-C. Shen, On the additive formulae of the theta functions and a collection of Lambert series pertaining to the modular equations of degree 5, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 345 (1994), no. 1, pp. 323-345.
- [46] Y. Simsek, Relations between theta-functions Hardy sums Eisenstein and Lambert series in the transformation formula of  $\log \eta_{a,h}(z)$ , Number Theory, 99 (2003), no. 2, pp. 338-360.
- [47] R. Spira, Generalized Lambert series, Portugal. Math., 29 (1970), pp. 95-99.
- [48] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of Functions, 2 nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, London, (1939), pp. 160-161.
- [49] D. H. Trahan, Regions of convergence for a generalized Lambert series, Math. Mag., 54(1981), pp. 28-32.
- [50] W. Van Assche, Little q-Legendre polynomials and irrationality of certain Lambert series, Ramanujan J., 5 (2001), no. 3, pp. 295-310.
- [51] K. S. Williams, Some Lambert series expansions of products of theta functions, Ramanujan J., 3 (1999), no. 4, pp. 367-384.
- [52] I. I. Zogin, Zametki po teorii funkstii I teopii chisel, Mat. Prosvesc., 3 (1958), pp. 147-155.
- [53] I. I. Zogin, Lambert series I (Russian), Sverdlovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst. U cen. Zap., 31 (1965), pp. 97-105.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROWAN UNIVERSITY, GLASSBORO, NJ 08028. *E-mail address:* osler@rowan.edu *E-mail address:* hassen@rowan.edu