PEER RATING OF TEAM MEMBERS

Name	Group #
Please write the names of all of your team members, INCLUDING	·
degree to which each member fulfilled his/her responsibilities in cor	npleting their team
assignments	

The possible ratings are as follows:

Excellent (100)	Consistently went above and beyond—tutored teammates, carried more
	than his/her fair share of the load
Very good (90)	Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative
Satisfactory (80)	Usually did what he/she was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative
Ordinary (60)	Often did what he/she was supposed to do, minimally prepared and cooperative
Marginal (50)	Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared
Deficient (30)	Often failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared
Unsatisfactory (20)	Consistently failed to show up or complete assignments, unprepared
Superficial (10)	Practically no participation
No show (0)	No participation at all

These ratings should reflect each individual's level of participation and effort and sense of responsibility, not his or her academic ability.

Name of Team Member	Overall Rating (0 to 100, multiples of 5)
YOUR NAME	

Your signature:	

©R.M. Felder, 1997. Based on the paper presented by Deborah B. Kaufman, Richard M. Felder, Hugh Fuller, "Peer Ratings in Cooperative Learning Teams," Session 1430, 1999 ASEE Annual Conference.