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In brief

The causality constraint on the thickness and bandwidth of acoustic absorbers can be overcome by leveraging a priori information of the incident waves. An updated physical limit between the thickness and absorption bandwidth is derived for noncausal absorbers. A noncausal sound absorber is designed with enhanced absorption performance. The absorber can be tuned through the control network that feeds the information on the incoming signals, achieving adjustable absorption spectra without the need for structural modifications.
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SUMMARY

The principle of causality imposes a constraint between the thickness and bandwidth of absorbers. This trade-off applies to any linear, time-invariant, passive system, limiting the development of broadband-absorbing materials that demand a thin profile for sound, light, and radio waves. Here, we demonstrate a strategy to overcome this constraint in acoustics using a noncausal active absorber whose response is controlled over time. A theoretical framework is established, which sets a relation among minimum thickness, bandwidth, and a priori information about the incident signal, representing a relaxed physical bound for noncausal absorbers. We design an absorber based on this principle and experimentally show that its response bandwidth surpasses the conventional limit. Our results showcase an active metamaterial that reduces the footprint of acoustic absorbers and elucidate the role of prior information in enhancing acoustic technologies, offering insights into the design of active acoustic devices.

INTRODUCTION

Causality requires the material response to an incoming wave at any given moment to be solely determined by the input information before that moment. This places stringent constraints on the response of linear, time-invariant media, which are manifested as various practical limits, e.g., the general constraints on the frequency response and dispersion of materials described by Kramers-Kronig relations,1,2 the limit on the bandwidth of small radiators and antennas known as Chu’s limit,3-6 the Bode-Fano limit on the matching bandwidth of reactive loads,7-9 limits on the cloaking bandwidth of metamaterials,10-13 and the dispersion constraints on acoustic Willis coupling.14,15 Another manifestation of causality in wave phenomena is the fundamental trade-off between the thickness and bandwidth of wave absorbers, as first demonstrated by Rozanov in the field of electromagnetic waves16 and later extended to acoustics.17,18 This absorption limit is a universal constraint applicable to all passive, causal, linear, and time-invariant absorbers. It represents an important trade-off in developing broadband absorbers.
implying that perfect absorption can only be achieved at a single frequency \(^{19-21}\) and a minimum thickness requirement arises to achieve near-unity absorption across a given bandwidth. \(^{18,22}\) Recently, research efforts have been devoted to approaching this causality limit for absorbers, and several strategies have been proposed to get close to it, such as combining different resonant units to achieve broadband, near-optimal absorbers. \(^{18,22,24}\) However, their causal nature implies a trade-off between their thickness and the absorption performance, a restriction that becomes particularly pronounced when tackling broadband low-frequency noise.

A few methods have been proposed to overcome this limit, circumventing different underlying assumptions. For example, by replacing the hard boundary backing of the absorber with a soft boundary, near-total sound absorption within the 50–200 Hz range has been achieved using a 0.5-cm-thick metallic mesh absorber, lower than the minimum thickness set forth by the hard boundary counterpart. \(^{25}\) By switching to a soft boundary backing, the thickness limit pivots on the static density rather than the bulk modulus of the material and can easily be circumvented by employing a high-density material. However, a broadband soft boundary backing is difficult to realize, especially in air because of its low characteristic impedance. Time-varying absorbers, \(^{9,26-33}\) have also been explored as a platform to overcome Rozanov’s bound. They can achieve superior absorption or scattering properties based on switched operations, \(^{30-33}\) that break the assumption of time invariance underlying the bound. Nonetheless, these methods are often limited to specific temporal forms of excitation and cannot be generalized to arbitrary excitation schemes. Continuous periodic time modulation may present an avenue for developing broadband absorbers capable of handling arbitrary waveforms. \(^{9}\) Active approaches can also overcome the causality bound, offering versatility in wave manipulation and their interaction with matter. Compared to passive systems, active materials can amplify the impinging waves and induce strong responses, enhancing their tunability and reconfigurability. \(^{34}\) Various strategies have been proposed to realize active metamaterials, e.g., to realize parity-time-symmetric \(^{15,16,30}\) and nonreciprocal \(^{37,38}\) responses. Using non-Foster circuit elements is a common strategy to broaden the bandwidth using active modular components, \(^{6,39,40}\) but they pose challenges associated with instability and noise. Yet, absorbers designed using such active elements suggest that they can lead to superior performance in terms of absorption. \(^{40}\)

In this work, we establish a theoretical framework for acoustic absorbers that are generally noncausal and present a design for an absorber that breaks causality by introducing active elements. We start by establishing a relationship between the minimum thickness of absorbers and the degree of causality violation, which defines the physical limits for noncausal active absorbers (NCAs). Subsequently, we design and experimentally implement an NCAA with a near-perfect absorption within the 150–1,600 Hz frequency range, boasting an average absorption of 98%, far surpassing the performance of conventional passive absorbers of the same thickness. Our approach achieves a noncausal response by employing an active unit that leverages a priori information on the incident signal. This enables the designed absorber to utilize not only the incident wave information at the current and preceding moments but also its evolution in the future, which breaks causality. The proposed NCAA is 82.5 mm thick, much thinner than the equivalent minimum thickness of 374.3 mm determined by the causality limit for the achieved bandwidth of operation.

RESULTS

Theory of surpassing Rozanov limit with noncausal responses

Conventional sound-absorbing materials are expected to adhere to causality, \(^{41}\) which imposes constraints on their interaction with acoustic waves. Consider the case of acoustic wave propagation in air, where a homogeneous sound-absorbing material of thickness \(d\) is placed on a hard boundary backing, as in Figure 1A. In this case, when an acoustic beam \(p\) is incident on the absorbing material, causality implies that the reflected wave \(p'\) at any given moment \(t\) is determined solely by the incident signal before that moment. Consequently, the reflection coefficient \(R(\omega)\) is an analytic function of complex \(\omega\) in the upper half of the complex \(\omega\) plane. Leveraging the analytical nature of \(R(\omega)\), an inequality between the absorption coefficient \(A(\omega) = 1 - |R(\omega)|^2\) and the material thickness \(d\) can be derived: \(^{19}\)

\[
d_{\text{min}} = \frac{B_{\text{eff}}}{4\pi^2B_0} \int_0^\infty \ln|1 - A(\lambda)| d\lambda \leq d.
\]  
(Equation 1)

where \(\lambda\) is the sound wavelength in air, \(B_0\) is the bulk modulus of air, and \(B_{\text{eff}}\) is the effective bulk modulus of the absorber in the static limit (\(|\lambda| \to \infty\) ). The equation yields a fundamental limitation of the thickness of any passive absorber with a prescribed absorption characteristic, and hence a trade-off is found between the absorption performance (i.e., the absorption coefficient and bandwidth) and the material thickness. This limit is denoted as the causality limit in the following discussions. One may assume that an ideal absorber to achieve a specific absorption spectrum within the frequency band \([f_0, \infty)\), as shown in Figure 1C. By taking the absorption spectrum from Figure 1C into the integral equation on the left-hand side of Equation 1, we obtain the required thickness \(d\) of the absorber as a function of \(f_0\) in Figure 1D. It is evident that as the frequency \(f_0\) decreases (i.e., a broader bandwidth), the required thickness of the absorber rapidly increases. This phenomenon arises due to the growth of the wavelength for lower frequencies, exerting a greater impact on the absorber thickness. Therefore, broadband low-frequency sound absorption demands a substantial thickness. \(^{32}\) This fundamental challenge underscores the difficulty in handling low-frequency broadband noise with conventional sound-absorbing materials.

To achieve broadband sound absorption at low frequencies using ultra-thin acoustic materials, we turn our attention to active absorbers that overcome Equation 1 by breaking one of the underlying assumptions in its derivation: the principle of causality. A noncausal absorber, as the name suggests, overcomes causality because its response, e.g., the reflected wave \(p(t)\), at any given moment \(t\) is no longer solely determined by the incident wave information that has already reached the
absorber but is also influenced by a priori information on the incoming wave profile before it actually arrives. This feature is not possible with passive systems, but it can be enabled, for instance, by a front microphone that transmits the incident wave information to the noncausal absorber before it reaches the absorber itself (Figure 1B). This makes the absorber leverage the incident wave information from the future. This is the key to break the causality limit, as it will be shown in the following derivation. Since the noncausal absorber still satisfies linearity and time invariance, its interaction with the incident acoustic wave \(p_i\) can be described by a concise convolution relation

\[ p_r(t) = \int_{-\tau_0}^{\infty} r(\tau)p_i(t - \tau)d\tau \]  

(Equation 2)

where \(r(\tau)\) denotes the response kernel of the incident wave interaction with the noncausal absorber, which satisfies \(r(\tau) = 0\) for \(\tau < -\tau_0\) (\(\tau_0\) is a positive real number), while \(r(\tau) \neq 0\) for \(\tau \geq -\tau_0\). Here, \(\tau_0\) denotes the introduced noncausal component, and a larger \(\tau_0\) is associated with a larger degree of causality breaking. The nonzero response with respect to the incoming wave in the future \((\tau < 0)\) clearly indicates a noncausal response process. Assuming that the system remains linear, and by carrying out the Fourier transform of Equation 2 (with harmonic time factor \(e^{j\omega t}\)), the reflection coefficient \(R(\omega)\) in the frequency domain may be obtained as

\[ R(\omega) = \frac{P_r(\omega)}{P_i(\omega)} = \int_{-\tau_0}^{\infty} r(\tau)e^{j\omega \tau}d\tau. \]  

(Equation 3)

Equation 3 reveals that the presence of noncausal components in the reflection coefficient \(R(\omega)\) makes it nonanalytic in the upper half of the complex \(\omega\) plane, distinct from the case of causal absorbers. However, by multiplying \(e^{j\omega \tau_0}\) on both sides of Equation 3, \(R(\omega)e^{j\omega \tau_0}\) is analytic in the upper half of the complex \(\omega\) plane. As a result, we can establish the following inequality for the absorption coefficient \(A(\lambda)\) of noncausal absorbers:

\[ d_{\text{min}} = \frac{B_{\text{eff}}}{4\pi^2 B_0} \left| \int_{\tau_0}^{\infty} \ln[1 - A(\lambda)]d\lambda \right| \leq d + \frac{B_{\text{eff}}c_0}{2B_0} \tau_0, \]  

(Equation 4)

where \(c_0\) is the speed of sound in air. A detailed derivation of Equation 4 is presented in Note S1. It is evident that for a noncausal absorber with \(\tau_0 > 0\), the original causality constraint is relaxed. Remarkably, the term \((B_{\text{eff}}c_0\tau_0/2B_0)\) on the right-hand side of Equation 4 increases linearly as \(\tau_0\) grows, leading to a decrease in the minimum thickness requirement for noncausal absorbers. This implies that, for an equivalent absorption performance, the thickness of a noncausal absorber can be reduced compared to the one of a conventional causal absorber, as visualized in Figure 1D. When no noncausal component is introduced \((\tau_0 = 0)\), Equation 4 becomes the same as Equation 1, as expected. Furthermore, Equation 4 implies the existence of an upper limit to the sound absorption performance of the noncausal absorber, determined by the actual absorber thickness \(d\) and the introduced noncausal component \(\tau_0\).

**Design of the NCAA**

The designed active absorber is shown in Figure 2A. The main body of the absorber is marked in the red dashed box, with thickness \(d\), and realized by the loudspeaker embedded in air, mainly containing the loudspeaker and the cavity between
the loudspeaker and the hard boundary backing. A noise source is positioned at the left end of the waveguide, separated by a distance $l$ from the active absorber. The noise signal $x(t)$, generated by a multichannel analyzer (B&K PULSE 3160A) and amplified by a power amplifier I, is utilized to stimulate a plane wave that impinges upon the absorber. We label the incident wave $p_i(t)$ and the reflected wave $p_r(t)$ at the interface $S$ of the absorber at time $t$. To achieve efficient sound absorption, it is crucial to control the absorber to generate an anti-reflection signal that can induce destructive interference with the reflected wave after the incident wave $p_i(t)$ interacts with the absorber, thus leading to perfect absorption. Therefore, for the sake of understanding, the reflected wave $p_r(t)$ at the interface $S$ of the active absorber can be considered as a superposition of two parts (see Figure 2A). One part is generated by the reflection of the incident wave $p_i(t)$ at the active absorber, which can be regarded as the passive reflected wave, $p_{rP}(t)$. The other portion is the anti-reflection signal generated by the active absorber, which can be regarded as the active reflected wave, $p_{rA}(t)$. The overall reflected wave $p_r(t)$ at the interface $S$ of the absorber can be written as the sum of these two components:

$$p_r(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r_P(\tau)p_i(t-\tau)d\tau + \frac{A_2S_2}{A_1S_1} \int_{0}^{\infty} w\left(\tau + \frac{l}{c_0}\right) - t_1 p_i(t-\tau)d\tau,$$

(Equation 5)

where $r_P(\tau)$ is the passive response kernel in the time domain. This response still satisfies the causality condition, i.e., $r_P(\tau) = 0$ when $\tau < 0$. $A_1$ and $A_2$ represent the amplification factors of power amplifiers I and II, respectively. $S_1$ and $S_2$ denote the sensitivity of the noise source loudspeaker and the loudspeaker in the absorber, respectively. The variable $t_1$ is the inherent time delay imposed by the hardware components of the controller. $w(\tau)$ is the time-domain response of the controller, which needs to be physically realizable. Hence, it must also be a causal response, i.e., $w(\tau) = 0$ when $\tau < 0$. A detailed derivation of Equation 5 is described in Note S2.

For the sake of simplicity, an ideal noise signal $x(t)$ is used to play the role of the microphone in Figure 1B. In practical applications, a small front microphone or an array of small microphones can be used to capture the a priori information necessary to
break causality. Moreover, advanced measurement techniques like laser Doppler vibrometry\textsuperscript{43,44} may be employed to obtain the \textit{a priori} information in a remote manner. In the case of this experiment, the noise signal $x(t)$ is equivalent to a "sentry" and serves as a reference signal to provide \textit{a priori} information of the incident wave for the active absorber so that the absorber can obtain the incident wave information before the incident wave reaches the absorber. When $l/C_0 > t_1$, \textit{Equation 5} can be simplified as

$$p_i(t) = \int_{\tau = 0}^{t} \left( r_p(\tau) + \frac{A_s S_s}{A_l S_l} w(\tau + \tau_0) \right) p_i(t - \tau) d\tau.$$  
\textit{(Equation 6)}

where $\tau_0 = l/C_0 - t_1$ is the noncausal component introduced by the active absorber. According to \textit{Equation 6}, the negative lower limit of integration highlights that the reflected wave for the active absorber at time $t$ relies not only on the incident wave information at time $t$ and before but also on information from future portions of the incident signal. This clearly indicates a noncausal response. It follows that the noncausal absorber can be successfully designed with the introduction of the noncausal component $\tau_0$.

On the other hand, when $\tau_0 \leq 0$, we can obtain the relationship between the reflected wave $p_i(t)$ and the incident wave $p_i(t)$ of the active absorber by simplifying \textit{Equation 5}:

$$p_i(t) = \int_{\tau = -\infty}^{t} \left( r_p(\tau) + \frac{A_s S_s}{A_l S_l} w(\tau + \tau_0) \right) p_i(t - \tau) d\tau.$$  
\textit{(Equation 7)}

Evidently, the determination of the reflected wave of the active absorber at time $t$ only relies on the information of the incident wave at time $t$ and before. Hence, the absorber remains causal; however, it is an active system, and its sound absorption performance must adhere to the causal limit as outlined by \textit{Equation 1}.

The combination of \textit{Equations 6} and 7 shows that the active absorber is not necessarily noncausal, and whether it introduces a noncausal component depends mainly on the magnitude of the propagation time $l/C_0$ of the noise path and the inherent time delay $t_1$ of the controller. Specifically, when $\tau_0 > 0$, the active absorber exhibits noncausal behavior. Conversely, when $\tau_0 \leq 0$, the active absorber system remains causal. Based on \textit{Equation 4}, the upper limit of achievable sound absorption performance for the noncausal absorber increases linearly with the introduction of the noncausal component. This implies that intentionally increasing the propagation time $l/C_0$ of the noise path or decreasing the inherent time delay $t_1$ of the controller during the design of the NCAA can improve the upper limit of absorption performance. By effectively breaking the causal limit, this approach facilitates the realization of ultra-broadband sound absorption using a compact underlying structure. This guideline holds significant importance for the design of noncausal absorbers and presents a potential solution for the treatment of low-frequency broadband noise.

In order to further investigate the effect of the introduced noncausal component $\tau_0$ on the absorption performance of the NCAA, a pure time delay $t_2$ is introduced between the signal $x(t)$ and the controller $w(\tau)$. This time delay can be tuned by programming in the digital signal processor (DSP). Calculations reveal that the noncausal component introduced by the NCAA becomes $\tau_0 = l/C_0 - t_1 - t_2$ at this stage. The noncausal component $\tau_0$ can be adjusted by varying the time delay $t_2$. Specifically, when $t_2 = 0$, the active noncausal absorber introduces the maximum noncausal component, and as $t_2$ increases ($t_2 > 0$), the noncausal component $\tau_0$ gradually decreases. After adding the pure time delay $t_2$, the controller response $w(\tau)$ can be calculated as

$$w(\tau) = w(\tau + t_2).$$  
\textit{(Equation 8)}

A detailed derivation of \textit{Equation 8} is given in Note S3.

\textbf{Experimental testing of the NCAA}

Experiments were conducted in a circular impedance tube (\textit{Figure 2B}) with a diameter of $d_0 = 12$ cm. The tube wall, made of 20-mm-thick plexiglass, provides sound insulation of over 25 dB above 150 Hz as predicted by the mass law. The noise source and the active absorber were positioned at the two ends of the impedance tube. The separation distance $l = 1.5$ m was chosen to provide space to investigate the absorption performance of the noncausal absorber for different noncausal conditions. To mitigate the impact of multiple reflections within the tube, some glass wool was employed. The active absorber, with a thickness of $d = 82.5$ mm, consists of a loudspeaker embedded in air and backed by a 20-mm-thick plexiglass plate, serving as a hard boundary to prevent sound energy leakage. In the static limit, the active absorber can be treated as a homogeneous material. Its effective bulk modulus could be calculated using Wood’s formula\textsuperscript{38} $B_{\text{eff}} = B_{\text{air}}^{-1} + (1 - \phi) B_{\text{solid}}^{-1}$, where $\phi = V_{\text{air}}/V_{\text{mat}}$ represents the air-filling rate in the material, $B_{\text{air}}$ is the bulk modulus in air, and $B_{\text{solid}}$ is the bulk modulus of the solid component. Since $B_{\text{solid}} \gg B_{\text{air}}$, $B_{\text{eff}} = B_{\text{air}}/\phi$. Notably, the static effective bulk modulus $B_{\text{eff}}$ of the active absorber primarily depends on the air filling rate $\phi$, which can be estimated by considering the dimensions of the components in the active absorber, yielding an approximate value of $B_{\text{eff}} = 1.3 B_{\text{air}}$.

During the experiments, to ensure that only the plane wave mode is excited, low-pass white noise below 1,600 Hz is emitted from the noise source. The upper limit of the absorption range was constrained by the cutoff frequency of the waveguide, which is $1,657$ Hz and could be further increased with a smaller impedance tube. The DSP of the controller is implemented using a TMS320C6748 chip with a sampling rate of $f_s = 16,000$ Hz. The DSP has a built-in time delay of $t_1 = 0.26$ ms and incorporates an embedded finite impulse response filter with an order of $N = 1,023$. The optimal time-domain impulse response of the controller in the experiment, denoted as $w_{\text{opt}}(n)$ (the discretized form of $w_{\text{opt}}(\tau)$), is obtained by optimizing based on a filtered-reference least mean squares (FXLMS) algorithm.\textsuperscript{40} The frequency response of this optimal controller is illustrated in \textit{Figures S1C} and S1D. Detailed information regarding this optimization algorithm can be found in Note S4.

To quantitatively evaluate the role of causality breaking in sound absorption, the noncausal component $\tau_0$ is varied,
and its impact on the absorption performance is investigated.

The discrete form of Equation 8 leads to \( w_{opt}(n) = w_{opt}(n + t_2 - f_s) \) during the experiments, where \( w_{opt}(n) \) represents the optimal controller response after incorporating the time delay \( t_2 \). By advancing \( t_2 - f_s \) sampling points, the controller response \( w_{opt}(n) \) with the addition of the time delay \( t_2 \) can be obtained from the optimal controller \( w_{opt}(n) \) at \( t_2 = 0 \). Therefore, the absorption coefficients corresponding to different noncausal components \( \tau_0 \) can be determined. Three typical examples are shown in Figure 3A for different \( \tau_0 \) as 0, 1, and 4 ms, respectively, compared with the passive absorption. Interestingly, even in the absence of active control, the absorber still exhibits a remarkable absorption performance approaching 0.9 at 260 Hz. However, this performance is achieved with a narrow bandwidth. This outcome can be attributed to the fact that the loudspeaker is a second-order resonant system, behaving as a passive Helmholtz resonator capable of strong absorption but with a narrow linewidth. Taking the absorption spectrum at this point into the integral equation on the left-hand side of Equation 1 yields \( d_{min} = 56.3 \text{ mm} \). This confirms that the thickness of the absorber still falls within the causal limit as expected since no active control function is used. Once the active control of the absorber is turned on, the absorption performance will start improving. By increasing the noncausal component to 4 ms, the NCAA achieves absorption greater than 0.9 across the entire frequency band of interest, 150–1,600 Hz, with a remarkably flat absorption spectrum. Based on the absorption spectrum measured at different noncausal components \( \tau_0 \), the left-hand side of Equation 4 is evaluated to yield the equivalent minimum material thickness \( d_{min} \) shown in Figure 3B. The figure demonstrates that introducing a noncausal component \( \tau_0 > 0 \) enables the absorber to exceed the causal limit, with the degree of causality breaking manifested by the gradual increase of \( d_{min} \) with larger \( \tau_0 \) values, e.g., when \( \tau_0 = 4 \text{ ms} \), the equivalent minimum material thickness reaches 374.3 mm. This value surpasses the actual thickness \( d = 82.5 \text{ mm} \) of the absorber, representing a more than 4-fold thickness reduction by adopting the active system. At \( \tau_0 = 0 \text{ ms} \), which represents that the absorber is causal, the calculated
The minimum thickness is $d_{\text{min}} = 95.9 \text{ mm}$ and slightly exceeds the actual absorber thickness of 82.5 mm. The small discrepancy may be caused by measurement errors or a small amount of sound energy leakage. Clearly, continuing to increase the noncausal component is beneficial to elevate the absorption performance of the NCAA. This phenomenon can be attributed to the continuously improved impedance matching shown in Figures 3C–3F, which is calculated corresponding to the four cases in Figure 3A.

**Broadband absorber with tunable absorption features**

To highlight the versatility of the proposed NCAA in programmable sound absorption, we vary the optimization algorithm to achieve selective reflection in tunable frequency bands while ensuring high absorption in other bands. This feature finds practical utility in scenarios where a specific acoustic frequency band needs to be reflected more prominently, for example, in selective sound communication. While passive metamaterial absorbers can, in principle, achieve similar functionality by adjusting the resonance mode distribution, they typically require expensive and time-consuming processes of design optimization and remanufacturing. In contrast, our proposed NCAA accomplishes this goal through a programmable digital controller without physically altering the structure, offering unparalleled flexibility and tunability.

For the NCAA, the filtered-E\(^{-}\)least mean squares (FELMS) optimization algorithm based on a shaping filter can be used to achieve a tunable sound absorption spectrum. The core of the algorithm is based on the FXLMS algorithm by adding a shaping filter $f(n)$ to filter the error signal $e(n)$, which is equivalent to the reflected wave $r_c$ (see Note S4), and then updating the controller $w(n)$ to achieve a constraint on the absorption spectrum (see Note S5). As shown in Figures 4A and 4B, two representative shaping filters are designed. Specifically, Figure 4A corresponds to a single stopband filter, denoted as $f_1(n)$, with the stopband frequency range of 600–900 Hz. Using $f_1(n)$ to filter the error signal $e(n)$ means that the 600–900 Hz frequency band does not need to be cancelled, i.e., the sound waves within this band are reflected. Figure 4B represents a double stopband filter, referred to as $f_2(n)$, with stopband frequency ranges of 500–700 and 1,000–1,200 Hz, respectively. Following the application of the shaping filters $f_1(n)$ and $f_2(n)$ to filter the error signal $e(n)$, the optimal controllers are obtained based on the FELMS algorithm, with the resulting absorption spectrums presented in Figures 4C and 4D, respectively. In Figure 4C, the average absorption coefficient of the NCAA within the 600–900 Hz frequency band is merely 0.28, while the other bands remain nearly unaffected, exhibiting an average absorption coefficient of 0.97 in the near-unitary absorption. Following the absorption spectrum, the right-hand side of Equation 4 is calculated to be $d_{\text{min}} = 339.2 \text{ mm}$, still exceeding the actual thickness of 82.5 mm of the NCAA, and is unattainable by causal absorbers. Similarly, in Figure 4D, the absorption coefficient of the NCAA within the double stop bands of 500–700 and 1,000–1,200 Hz is also remarkably low, averaging only 0.11. In contrast, the absorption coefficient in the other frequency bands remains considerably high. Utilizing the absorption spectrum within the integral equation on the left side of Equation 4 yields $d_{\text{min}} = 334.9 \text{ mm}$, which, once again, surpasses the thickness of the NCAA. The substantial variation in the absorption coefficient between the stopband and the passband stems from the rapid
transition from impedance matching to mismatching at the absorber interface, as depicted in Figures 4E and 4F. With the active nature of our absorber, it is possible to achieve a negative acoustic resistance, thereby enabling a reflection coefficient greater than 1 in the stopband. This expanded modulation range of the reflection coefficient surpasses its passive counterparts. These examples illustrate the capability of the NCAA to achieve an adjustable absorption spectrum. In principle, other diverse absorption spectra can also be synthesized by simply modifying the shaping filter $fr(n)$, opening possibilities for the exploration of additional practical operations.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, we presented an NCAA that surpasses the causality limit by relying on a feedforward mechanism to provide a priori information to the absorber on the incoming signal. This approach enables the minimization of the footprint of absorbers while preserving a broad bandwidth of operation. While conventional active approaches in metamaterials commonly incur in instabilities, our approach avoids stability issues. The demonstrated strategy for broadband absorption can be extended to other wave platforms, including waves for which the propagation speed of the background medium is fast. Although the active absorber shown here operates in a one-dimensional waveguide, it is possible to increase its effective area by combining multiple units into a surface array, as in the case of metasurfaces.

While only normal incidence was considered in this work, oblique incidence and complex wavefronts may also be addressed with an extended model, following similar constraints. The active absorber was designed here to obtain efficient absorption performance beyond the causality limit within a low profile, different from tube noise-canceling systems and noise-canceling earplugs, which primarily focus on sound cancellation through interference to prevent noise transmission. We emphasize that our a priori signals are only used to carry noncausal information to the absorber to modify its response in time, which is less energy demanding compared to approaches that leverage destructive interference, as in noise cancellation. Furthermore, aiming to challenge the causality limit, our device was designed to support a thin form factor, which is different from previously proposed hybrid active-passive absorbers that tend to be significantly thicker. The weight of the proposed active absorber is mainly due to the presence of the loudspeaker, which can be reduced by choosing lighter-weight models in practical applications. This proposed design strategy holds promise in architectural acoustics, selective sound communications, and broadband noise mitigation. A known noise signal was used as a proof-of-concept demonstration, which has been a common practice for active absorbers. Nevertheless, leveraging a feedback neutralization technique, our absorber can still achieve sound absorption by sampling the incoming signals to leverage a priori information (see Note S6 and Figure S3).

In summary, we presented a comprehensive analysis of the impact of causality on sound absorption in materials. We have shown that the causality limit of absorbers can be overcome by adopting an active approach that breaks the causality of the system. A theoretical framework is established between the minimum dimension of absorbers and the degree of causality violation, which sets the physical bounds for NCAAs. The results are verified by measurements based on an active absorber that harnesses noncausal information. Over 90% sound absorption was experimentally demonstrated within the 150–1,600 Hz frequency range with a thickness of only 82.5 mm, far thinner than the equivalent minimum thickness of 374.3 mm dictated by the causal limit. Our result highlights the effectiveness of NCAA in reducing the thickness of sound absorbers, which is of great importance for broadband noise mitigation. By adjusting the time delay in the system, we showed the minimum thickness dependence on the degree of causality breaking. Furthermore, we demonstrated the flexibility of our approach by programming the controller to achieve adjustable absorption spectra without the need for structural modifications. This feature offers great convenience in practical applications and represents a step forward to realize user-desired, in-demand sound scattering beyond causal limits.

Although near-perfect broadband absorption was only demonstrated for the 150–1,600 Hz range, the operating frequency band of the noncausal absorber can be adjusted by using corresponding noise source signals to train the controller for noise sources at various frequencies. Moreover, the efficient bandwidth of the active absorber can be improved by using a high-performance controller. The obtained results demonstrate the efficacy of an active structural design in reducing the size of acoustic absorbers and shed light on the influence of causality in sound absorption. This concept holds promise for various applications involving wave modulation, including absorber designs, acoustic stealth cloaks, and acoustic Willis coupling metamaterials, offering opportunities to realize broadband resonant responses that go beyond the stringent bandwidth limitations introduced by causality.
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**Experimental measurements**

The experimental setup is depicted in Figure 2B. Two HiVi84N loudspeakers are used to constitute the noise source and the active absorber, respectively. A multichannel analyzer (B&K PULSE 3160A) is used to generate noise signal. The absorption coefficient is measured using the transfer function method, employing two 1/2-inch microphones labeled as A and B. These microphones are positioned 8 cm apart, with microphone B located at a distance of 50 cm from the absorber interface S. Calibration procedures are conducted to improve amplitude and phase agreement between the two microphones, which is important to obtain accurate measurement results.
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.device.2024.100502.
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